

JOURNAL OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS 21, 380–388 (1976)

Interpolating Sequences and the Shilov Boundary of $H^\infty(\Delta)^*$

STEVEN ZISKIND

*Department of Mathematics, University of California at Los Angeles**Communicated by John Wermer*

Received November 5, 1974

Let $H^\infty(\Delta)$ denote the Banach algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disc, let \mathcal{M} denote its maximal ideal space, and let ∂ denote its Shilov boundary. D. J. Newman has shown that a homomorphism φ in \mathcal{M} will be in ∂ if and only if φ is unimodular on all Blaschke products. We answer a question of K. Hoffman by showing that φ will be in ∂ if and only if φ is unimodular on every Blaschke product whose zero set is an interpolating sequence. Our method is based on a construction due to L. Carleson, originally developed for the proof of the Corona theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Δ denote the open unit disc in \mathbb{C} and let $H^\infty(\Delta)$ denote the Banach algebra of bounded analytic functions on Δ . The algebra $H^\infty(\Delta)$ has a maximal ideal space, which we denote by \mathcal{M} , and a Shilov boundary, which we denote by ∂ . Newman [6, p. 179] has shown that for φ in \mathcal{M} , the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\varphi \in \partial$
- (ii) $|\varphi(B)| = 1$ whenever B is a Blaschke product
- (iii) $\varphi(B) \neq 0$ whenever B is a Blaschke product.

Call a sequence $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ in Δ an *interpolating sequence* if for every bounded sequence $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ there is an f in $H^\infty(\Delta)$ for which $f(z_j) = a_j$ for all j . Every interpolating sequence must satisfy $\sum (1 - |z_j|) < \infty$, and so is the zero sequence for a Blaschke product. We call a Blaschke product with interpolating zero sequence an *interpolating Blaschke*

* This paper is based on the author's Ph.D. thesis prepared at U.C.L.A. under the direction of Professor John Garnett.

product. Hoffman [9] has asked the following question: If we know that $|\varphi(B)| = 1$ for every interpolating Blaschke product B , can we conclude that φ is in ∂ ? We answer this question affirmatively.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ be such that $|\varphi(B)| = 1$ whenever B is an interpolating Blaschke product. Then $\varphi \in \partial$.*

Theorem 1 is actually proved in a somewhat stronger form (stronger in view of Newman's result).

THEOREM 1S. *Let φ be in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \partial$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a corresponding interpolating Blaschke product B^* for which $|\varphi(B^*)| \leq \epsilon$.*

The proof of Theorem 1S will be based on the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let B be any Blaschke product on Δ , and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a corresponding interpolating Blaschke product B^* for which $|B^*(z)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|B(z)| < 2^{-M}$ (where M is a universal constant). Moreover, all the zeros of B^* with modulus at least $\frac{1}{2}$ will be in the set $\{z : |B(z)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$.*

The proof of Theorem 2 uses a construction from the proof of the Corona theorem and will be deferred to Sections 4, 5 and 6.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1S

Let us deduce Theorem 1S from Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 1S. Let φ be in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \partial$. By Newman's theorem, there is a Blaschke product B for which $\varphi(B) = 0$. Let B^* be the corresponding interpolating Blaschke product from Theorem 2. By the Corona theorem, we may choose a net of points $\{z_\alpha\} \subset \Delta$ whose corresponding point evaluations converge weak-star to φ . Then $B(z_\alpha) \rightarrow \varphi(B) = 0$ and $|B^*(z_\alpha)| \rightarrow |\varphi(B^*)|$. Since $|B(z_\alpha)| < 2^{-M}$ for α sufficiently large, we also have $|B^*(z_\alpha)| < \epsilon$ for α sufficiently large. Consequently $|\varphi(B^*)| \leq \epsilon$.

It is interesting to note that a weakened version of Theorem 2 is equivalent to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2W. *Let B be any Blaschke product and let $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there is some interpolating Blaschke product B_ϵ for which*

$$\sup_{\{z: |B(z)| < \epsilon\}} |B_\epsilon(z)| < 1.$$

Proof. Suppose, in contradiction, that for every interpolating Blaschke product B_α , we do have $\sup_{\{z: |B_\alpha(z)| < \epsilon\}} |B_\alpha(z)| = 1$. Let \mathcal{B} denote the collection of all finite products of interpolating Blaschke products which do not vanish at the origin. Then for every B_α in \mathcal{B} , there is some point z_α in Δ for which $|B_\alpha(z_\alpha)| < \epsilon$ and

$$|B_\alpha(z_\alpha)| \geq 1 - |B_\alpha(0)|.$$

Partially order \mathcal{B} by containment of zero sets (counting multiplicity). Explicitly, $\alpha > \beta$ if $B_\alpha = B_\beta \cdot B_\gamma$ for some B_γ in \mathcal{B} . $\{z_\alpha\}$ is now a net in Δ . We claim that for each fixed α , $\lim_\gamma |B_\alpha(z_\gamma)| = 1$. To see this, let $\delta > 0$ be given and choose $\gamma_0 > \alpha$ so that $|B_\gamma(0)| < \delta$ whenever $\gamma > \gamma_0$. Then $\gamma > \gamma_0$ implies that

$$|B_\alpha(z_\gamma)| \geq |B_\gamma(z_\gamma)| \geq 1 - |B_\gamma(0)| > 1 - \delta$$

as desired. The compactness of $\mathcal{M}(H^\infty)$ guarantees that $\{z_\alpha\}$ has a subnet converging to some φ in $\mathcal{M}(H^\infty)$. We now have $|\varphi(B)| \leq \epsilon < 1$ and $|\varphi(B_\alpha)| = 1$ for every B_α in \mathcal{B} . In particular, φ cannot be evaluation at any point in Δ . Thus $|\varphi(z)| = 1$, where z is the identity function in $H^\infty(\Delta)$. Now any interpolating Blaschke product is the product of a function in \mathcal{B} and the function z (possibly). Thus, $|\varphi(B_\alpha)| = 1$ for all interpolating Blaschke products B_α . This contradicts Theorem 1, proving Theorem 2W.

The proof that Theorem 2W implies Theorem 1 is nearly a verbatim copy of the proof of Theorem 1S.

It is natural to ask if Theorem 1S is sharp. Explicitly, if φ is not in ∂ , is there an interpolating Blaschke product B^* for which $\varphi(B^*) = 0$? In general such a B^* will not exist. For suppose there were an interpolating Blaschke product B^* for which $\varphi(B^*) = 0$. Then φ is in the closure of the zero sequence of B^* (see [6, p. 206]). Hoffman [7] has shown, however, that this happens precisely when φ has nontrivial Gleason part. Since there are trivial Gleason parts in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \partial$ [7], there may be no B^* for which $\varphi(B^*) = 0$. This observation is due to Gamelin.

3. APPLICATIONS TO DOUGLAS ALGEBRAS

Let us recall that a subalgebra \mathfrak{A} of $L^\infty(\partial\Delta)$ is called a Douglas algebra if \mathfrak{A} is the closed algebra generated by $H^\infty(\partial\Delta)$ and $\{\bar{B} \in \mathfrak{A} : B \text{ is a Blaschke product}\}$. D. Sarason (private communication) has asked whether the set $\{\bar{B}^* \in \mathfrak{A} : B^* \text{ is an interpolating Blaschke product}\}$

can replace the corresponding set above. Donald E. Marshall (private communication) has provided the following affirmative answer.

THEOREM 3. *If \mathfrak{A} is any Douglas algebra, then \mathfrak{A} is the closed algebra generated by $H^\infty(\partial\Delta)$ and $\{\bar{B}^* \in \mathfrak{A} : B^* \text{ is an interpolating Blaschke product}\}$.*

The proof of Theorem 3 is a simple result of the following.

LEMMA. *Let B be any Blaschke product and let B^* be a corresponding interpolating Blaschke product from Theorem 2. Let $[H^\infty, \bar{B}]$ and $[H^\infty, \bar{B}^*]$ denote the Douglas algebras they generate. Then*

$$[H^\infty, \bar{B}] = [H^\infty, \bar{B}^*].$$

Proof. The maximal ideal space of $[H^\infty, \bar{B}]$, denoted $M([H^\infty, \bar{B}])$ is $\{\varphi \in \mathcal{M} : |\varphi(B)| = 1\}$ (see [5]). Similarly,

$$M([H^\infty, \bar{B}^*]) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{M} : |\varphi(B^*)| = 1\}.$$

Now $\bar{B} \in [H^\infty, \bar{B}^*]$ if and only if B is invertible in $[H^\infty, \bar{B}^*]$. This happens if and only if \hat{B} is never zero on $M([H^\infty, \bar{B}^*])$. This latter condition fails if and only if there is a φ in \mathcal{M} for which $\varphi(B) = 0$ and $|\varphi(B^*)| = 1$. The same argument that proves Theorem 1 shows that this cannot happen. Thus $\bar{B} \in [H^\infty, \bar{B}^*]$.

Similarly $\bar{B}^* \notin [H^\infty, \bar{B}]$ if and only if there is some φ in \mathcal{M} for which $|\varphi(B)| = 1$ and $\varphi(B^*) = 0$. But if $\varphi(B^*) = 0$, then φ is in the closure of the zero set of B^* which is contained in $\{z : |B(z)| < \frac{1}{4}\}$. This would say that $|\varphi(B)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Consequently $\bar{B}^* \in [H^\infty, \bar{B}]$, and so the lemma is proved.

4. CARLESON MEASURES AND THE ρ -METRIC

Throughout the remainder of this paper, we will work in both the disc Δ , and the upper half-plane, H^+ . Ultimately our results will be stated for Δ , but some of the constructions are best explained in H^+ .

DEFINITION. A finite measure μ on Δ is called a *Carleson measure* if there is a constant K for which $\mu(S) \leq K \cdot l$ whenever S is a sector of the form $S = \{re^{i\theta} : 1 - l \leq r < 1; \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0 + l\}$. The analogous definition is made for H^+ also, where squares replace sectors.

Any rectifiable curve $\Gamma \subset \Delta$ (resp. H^+) induces a finite measure on Δ (resp. H^+) by defining the measure of any Borel set S to be the

length of $I \cap S$. We say I induces a Carleson measure if the induced measure is Carleson.

DEFINITION. The *hyperbolic distance* between two points is defined by

$$\rho(z, w) = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{w}z} \right| & \text{on } \Delta, \\ \left| \frac{z - w}{z - \bar{w}} \right| & \text{on } H^+. \end{cases}$$

The relevance of the ρ -metric and of Carleson measures to our problem rests in the following characterization of interpolation [2]. On Δ , a sequence $\{z_j\}$ is interpolating if and only if there is some $\epsilon > 0$ for which $\rho(z_j, z_k) \geq \epsilon$ for $j \neq k$ and if the measure

$$\Sigma(1 - |z_j|) \delta_{z_j}$$

is a Carleson measure. (On H^+ , we use instead the measure $\Sigma(\operatorname{Im} z_j) \delta_{z_j}$.)

Our plan of attack on Theorem 2 runs as follows. In Section 5, we let B be any Blaschke product and construct a family of contours in Δ surrounding the places where $|B(z)| < 2^{-M}$. Calling I the union of the contours, we show that I induces a Carleson measure on Δ . This construction is due to Carleson [3]. It was originally stated only for finite Blaschke products but the proof carries over to the infinite case after some modifications. In Section 6, we take the I from Section 5 and let $\{a_n\}$ be a set of points on I which are uniformly distributed in the ρ -metric. The properties of I will guarantee that $\{a_n\}$ is an interpolating sequence, and the Blaschke product B^* with zeros at $\{a_n\}$ will be shown to have the properties required by Theorem 2.

5. THE CONSTRUCTION OF I

LEMMA 1. Let $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be analytic and let $|f(0)| = a > 0$. For each $M > 0$, define

$$E_M = \{\theta : \inf_{0 \leq r < 1} |f(re^{i\theta})| < e^{-M}\}.$$

Then, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $M(a, \epsilon)$ such that $|E_M| < \epsilon$ whenever $M > M(a, \epsilon)$, where $|E_M|$ denotes arc length of E_M .

LEMMA 2. *There is a constant M_0 such that whenever*

- (1) *$f: H^+ \rightarrow \Delta$ is a Blaschke product,*
- (2) *$Q = \{(x, y): x_0 < x < x_0 + \delta, 0 < y < \delta\}$,*
- (3) *there is a point $z_0 \in Q$ with $\text{Im } z_0 > \delta/2$ and $|f(z_0)| > \frac{1}{4}$,*
then

$$|\{z \in Q : |f(z)| < 2^{-M_0}, \text{Im } z \leq \delta/4\}^*| < \delta/2,$$

where A^* denotes projection of A onto $\{y = 0\}$.

Lemma 1 is proved in [4] and Lemma 2 follows from Lemma 1 by conformal mapping. Now let $Q^0 = \{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 < y \leq 1\}$. The top half of Q^0 will be called R^0 . $Q^0 \setminus R^0$ is partitioned into two squares, each of which we call Q^1 . The top half of each Q^1 is called R^1 . Continue the process indefinitely. Let $m = \max(M_0, 50)$ and partition each R^k into closed dyadic squares of edge length 2^{-m-k} . Call each such square S . For any fixed S , simple estimates show that

$$\sup_{z, w \in S} \rho(z, w) < 2 \cdot 2^{-m}. \quad (\dagger)$$

An application of the Schwarz-Pick lemma [1] to (\dagger) yields the following.

LEMMA 3. *Let $f: H^+ \rightarrow \Delta$ be analytic, let $z_0 \in S$, and let $|f(z_0)| < 2^{-m}$. Then*

$$\sup_S |f(z)| < 4 \cdot 2^{-m}.$$

Suppose now that f is a Blaschke product defined on H^+ . We wish to choose a subcollection, \mathcal{C} , of the squares S , with the property that

- (α) if $z \in S$ and $|f(z)| < 2^{-m}$ then $S \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (β) if $z \in S$ and $|f(z)| > \frac{1}{4}$ then $S \notin \mathcal{C}$.

Lemma 3 guarantees that (α) and (β) will be mutually exclusive. To decide which of the S go into \mathcal{C} , we consider two cases.

Case I. $\sup_R |f| \geq \frac{1}{4}$. For $S \subset R^0 \cup R^1$, put S in \mathcal{C} if

$$\inf_S |f| \leq 2^{-m}.$$

For $S \subset R^2$, put S in \mathcal{C} if $\inf_S |f| \leq 2^{-m}$, and shade the square $Q(S)$ whose base is S^* (unless it has already been shaded). For $S \subset R^k$ with $k > 2$, put S in \mathcal{C} if $\inf_S |f| \leq 2^{-m}$ and if $S \not\subset Q(S_0)$ for some $S_0 \in R^j$ with $j < k$. Also shade $Q(S)$ if it does not already lie in a

shaded square. Label the shaded squares $Q_1^{(1)}, Q_2^{(1)}, Q_3^{(1)}, \dots$ and call them *first generation squares*. Because of Lemma 2,

$$\left| \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j^{(1)} \right)^* \right| < \frac{1}{2} |(Q^0)^*|,$$

and we can easily check that

$$\sum_{Q(S_0) \text{ below } S \text{ below } S_0} p(S) \leq \text{const } |S_0^*| \quad (*)$$

where $p(S)$ is the perimeter of S and the constant depends only on m . The subcollection of the S in \mathcal{C} which are placed in \mathcal{C} by Case I will be called G .

Case II. $\sup_{R^0} |f| < \frac{1}{4}$. First place all of the $S \subset R^0$ into \mathcal{C} . Next consider each of the squares Q^1 . If $|f| > \frac{1}{4}$ anywhere in the top half of Q^1 , shade that Q^1 . Otherwise put all the S in the top half of Q^1 into \mathcal{C} and repeat the process on the two Q^2 's which form the bottom half of Q^1 . Continue this process as long as possible outside the shaded squares. As before, the shaded squares are called *first generation squares* and labelled $Q_1^{(1)}, Q_2^{(1)}, \dots$. Let T be the union of the S outside of these shaded squares. Note that T is simply connected, that

$$|\partial T| < 6 |(Q^0)^*|, \quad (**)$$

and that the first generation squares produced by this case are squares to which Case I applies.

Given a Blaschke product f defined on H^+ , proceed as follows. Start with Case I or II and then pass to the shaded squares $Q_1^{(1)}, Q_2^{(1)}, \dots$. On each $Q_j^{(1)}$, apply the appropriate case and obtain doubly shaded squares $Q_1^{(2)}, Q_2^{(2)}, \dots$ which we call *second generation squares*. Repeat the process indefinitely. Let \mathcal{G} denote the collection of all the T 's formed by the Case II construction. Because we never use Case II twice consecutively in passing from one shaded square to a shaded descendant, our choice of m guarantees that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |(Q_j^{(2^n)})^*| \leq 2^{-n}.$$

Combined with (*) and (**) we can now easily check that Γ , defined as the union of the boundaries of the S in G and the T in \mathcal{G} , induces a Carleson measure.

Note further that $|\partial T \cap \{y = 0\}| = 0$ for every T in \mathcal{G} , because Blaschke products have unimodular vertical limits a.e. and any point

$(x, 0) \in \partial T$ is a point where $\overline{\lim}_{y \rightarrow 0} |f(x, y)| \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Finally, note that any point z in Q^0 for which $|f(z)| < 2^{-m}$ will be surrounded by Γ .

6. THE CONSTRUCTION OF B^*

In this section we consider a curve $\Gamma \subset Q^0$ which is of the type produced in Section 5. We want to analyze the behavior of a Blaschke product whose zeros are located on Γ . Explicitly, choose any ϵ for which $0 < \epsilon < 2^{-m-1}$ and place points a_n on Γ so that

$$\epsilon \leq \rho(a_n, a_{n+1}) < 2\epsilon$$

whenever a_n and a_{n+1} are adjacent points on Γ (choosing equality for the left inequality whenever possible), and so that each point of Γ on the corner of an S is an a_n . We claim that $\{a_n\}$ is an interpolating sequence. Since we have explicitly made $\rho(a_n, a_m) \geq \epsilon$ for $m \neq n$, we need only show that $\mu = \sum (\text{Im } a_n) \delta_{a_n}$ is a Carleson measure. Since Γ is composed of various edges of our squares S , and since Γ induces a Carleson measure, we need only show that whenever γ is an edge of an S and $(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_k, y_k)$ are points on γ for which the adjacent ρ -distance exceeds ϵ , then $\sum_{j=0}^k y_j \leq \text{const } |\gamma|$ where the constant may depend on ϵ . Consider first the case when γ is horizontal. Here $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k$, and $y_0 = y_1 = \dots = y_k$. We then have

$$\epsilon \leq \rho(z_j, z_{j+1}) = \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{|(x_{j+1} - x_j) + 2iy_j|} < \frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{2y_j}.$$

Thus, $y_j < (1/2\epsilon)(x_{j+1} - x_j)$, and so, $\sum_{j=0}^k y_j \leq (1/2\epsilon) |\gamma|$. In the case when γ is vertical, we have $x_0 = x_1 = \dots = x_k$, and $y_0 > y_1 > \dots > y_k$. Then

$$\epsilon \leq \rho(z_j, z_{j+1}) = \frac{y_j - y_{j+1}}{y_j + y_{j+1}} < \frac{y_j - y_{j+1}}{y_j}$$

so that $y_j < (1/\epsilon)(y_j - y_{j+1})$, giving $\sum_{j=0}^k y_j \leq (1/\epsilon) |\gamma|$ as above.

Now we have shown that $\{a_n\}$ is an interpolating sequence. Let B^* be the Blaschke product whose zero sequence is $\{a_n\}$. Note that for $z \in \Gamma$, $|B^*(z)| < \epsilon$. This follows by observing that $|B^*(z)|$ measures the product of the ρ -distances from z to the a_n . At least two of these ρ -distances are bounded by 2ϵ so that the product cannot exceed $4\epsilon^2 < \epsilon$.

We next wish to show that if $z \in Q^0$ and $|f(z)| < 2^{-m}$, then $|B^*(z)| < \epsilon$. Points $z \in Q^0$ for which $|f(z)| < 2^{-m}$ will either lie in

some S in G or in some T in \mathcal{G} . In case $z \in S \in G$, our desired conclusion follows from the maximum principle and the fact that $|B^*| < \epsilon$ on $\partial S \subset Q^0$. In case $z \in T \in \mathcal{G}$, we no longer must have $\partial T \subset Q^0$. We do know, though, that $|\partial T \cap \{y = 0\}| = 0$. Consequently $\partial T \cap \{y = 0\}$ has harmonic measure zero relative to $\{y = 0\} \setminus \partial T$ in H^+ and so has harmonic measure zero relative to ∂T on T . Our conclusion now follows from [8, Theorem 1.63].

In summary, we have proved the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *Let f be any Blaschke product on H^+ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there is a corresponding Blaschke product B^* whose zeros are an interpolating sequence in Q^0 such that if $z \in Q^0$ and $|f(z)| < 2^{-m}$, then $|B^*(z)| < \epsilon$, where m is a universal constant. Also, the zeros of B^* are all in the set $\{z: |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. Repeated use of Lemma 4 defines the zero set of B^* in $\{z: \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| < 1\}$. In $\{z: |z| < \frac{1}{2}\}$ we merely toss in a huge (but finite) number of zeros.

REFERENCES

1. L. V. AHLFORS, "Conformal Invariants: Topics in Geometric Function Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
2. L. CARLESON, An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 921-930.
3. L. CARLESON, Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, *Ann. of Math.* **76** (1962), 547-559.
4. L. CARLESON, The corona theorem, Proceedings of the 15th Scandinavian Congress, Oslo 1968. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 118, Springer-Verlag.
5. R. G. DOUGLAS AND W. RUDIN, Approximation by inner functions, *Pacific J. Math.* **31** (1969), 313-320.
6. K. HOFFMAN, "Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.
7. K. HOFFMAN, Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts, *Ann. of Math.* **86** (1967), 74-111.
8. M. OHTSUKA, "Dirichlet Problem, Extremal Length and Prime Ends" Van Nostrand, New York, 1970.
9. D. WORTMAN, Interpolating sequences on convex curves in the open unit disc, to appear.